

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/609,293	06/27/2003	Albert R. Miller	82901	7899
24628	7590 10/05/2004		EXAMINER	
WELSH & KATZ, LTD			HYLTON, ROB	IN ANNETTE
120 S RIVERSIDE PLAZA 22ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3727	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	MUU			
	10/609,293	MILLER ET AL.	O -			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robin A. Hylton	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirtutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONill, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this or ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on					
2a) This action is FINAL.	·					
3) Since this application is in condition for	or allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> i	s/are: a)∐ accepted or b)⊠ objec	cted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objecti						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)	_					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-892) 		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9-29-03.		formal Patent Application (PTO)-152)			

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "28" has been used to designate both the first liner layer and the container lugs in figure 2 and the closure lugs in figure 6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "26" and "28" have both been used to designate closure lugs in figures 6. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 37. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR

Art Unit: 3727

1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 4. The drawings are objected to because the lead line of reference character 24 in figure 2 is pointing to the inner surface of the top wall and it is unclear what reference character is associated with the surface of the second liner layer, i.e., the reference character is illegible. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 5. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show plastic material in the cross sectional views as alternating thick and thin lines as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary,

Art Unit: 3727

the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, the claims are rejected for the following reasons:

Claim 1 recites the limitation "said intermediate" in line10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "said first surface" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim since more than one first surface has been previously set forth.

Dependent claims not specifically mentioned are rejected as depending from rejected base claims since they inherently contain the same deficiencies therein.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1-6,12,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finkelstein et al (US 5,598,940) in view of Hoge (US 2,162,455).

Art Unit: 3727

Finkelstein teaches the claimed venting cap liner except for at least one perforation extending vertically through first, intermediate and third layers.

Hoge teaches a venting cap liner having at least one perforation extending therethrough.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one perforation extending vertically through first, intermediate and third layers of Finkelstein. Doing so allows for venting of the associated container at the juncture of the closure and closure support while maintaining the engagement between the liner and the associated container rim.

Regarding the dimensions of the liner thickness, density, and perforation diameter, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the liner of any applicable dimension for the intended use of the venting cap liner on any associated container so as to allow venting to occur unobstructed.

9. Claims 7-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claims 1 and 12 above, and further in view of Costa et al. (US 5,730,306).

Finkelstein as modified teaches the claimed venting cap liner except for at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer.

Costa teaches it is known to provide at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer of Finkelstein. Doing so provides a vent path from the aperture across the cap liner to an outermost edge adjacent the closure support juncture, i.e., the container rim as seen in figure 5 of Costa.

Regarding the dimension channel depth, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the liner of any applicable dimension for the intended use of the venting cap liner on any associated container so as to allow venting to occur unobstructed.

Art Unit: 3727

10. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Finkelstein in view of Hoge and Costa.

Finkelstein teaches the claimed venting cap liner except for at least one perforation extending vertically through first, intermediate and third layers and at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer.

Hoge teaches a venting cap liner having at least one perforation extending therethrough.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one perforation extending vertically through first, intermediate and third layers of Finkelstein. Doing so allows for venting of the associated container at the juncture of the closure and closure support while maintaining the engagement between the liner and the associated container rim.

Costa teaches it is known to provide at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of at least one channel extending from one edge of the first liner layer to another edge of the first layer of Finkelstein. Doing so provides a vent path from the aperture across the cap liner to an outermost edge adjacent the closure support juncture, i.e., the container rim as seen in figure 5 of Costa.

Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Various prior art closures teaching features similar to those disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 12. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top

Art Unit: 3727

Date

of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

13. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

U.S. Pat	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No tent and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 872-9306 on the date shown	is being facsimiled to The below:
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	
	Signature	

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a part-time schedule and can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Miller at (703) 306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148 or may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RAH September 27, 2004

> Primary Examiner GAU 3727